UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

MARCIA GRIMES, : Case No. 1:05-CV-790

.

Plaintiff, : Dlott, J.

Black, M.J.

vs. :

:

COMMISSIONER OF : SOCIAL SECURITY, :

:

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION¹ THAT THE UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FEES FILED BY PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL (Doc. 19) BE GRANTED

This is a Social Security disability benefits appeal for which plaintiff was awarded disability benefits. (*See* Docs. 10, 13, 18.) Now before the Court is a motion by plaintiff's counsel (doc. 19) for a 25% contingency fee award. The motion, which remains unopposed by the Commissioner, is premised upon 42 U.S.C.§ 406(b)(1)(A).² *See Horenstein v. Secretary of Health & Human Servs.*, 35 F.3d 261, 262 (6th Cir. 1994) (*en banc*).

Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment, and the Commissioner of Social Security may, notwithstanding the provisions of section 405(i) of this title, certify the amount of such fee for payment to such attorney out of, and not in addition to, the amount of such past-due benefits. In case of any such judgment, no other fee may be payable or certified for payment for such representation except as provided in this paragraph.

Attached hereto is a NOTICE to the parties regarding objections to this Report and Recommendation.

Section 406(b)(1)(A) provides:

I.

Plaintiff entered into a fee agreement authorizing a 25% contingency fee. (Doc. 19, ex. A.) Acting pursuant to § 406(b)(1)(A), the Commissioner has withheld 25% of plaintiff's benefit monies as a potential contingency fee to be awarded to plaintiff's counsel. (Doc. 19, exs. B & C.) Based upon this fee agreement, the administration withheld \$5,300.00 for the SSI portion of Plaintiff's award and \$3,566.25 for the SSD portion of Plaintiff's award, as evidenced in the administration's notices of award dated January 30, 2008 and February 17, 2008. To date, Plaintiff's counsel has received \$2,062.50 in fees from the Equal Access to Justice Act. Therefore, Plaintiff's counsel has asked this Court for an award of benefits in the amount of \$5,459.46 for the remainder of the withheld fee. (*See* Doc. 19).

While an agreement between an attorney and client which provides for a 25% contingent fee is given a presumption of reasonableness, the agreement is not binding on the court. *See Rodriquez v. Bowen*, 865 F.2d 739, 746 (6th Cir. 1989) (*en banc*). The court must ultimately determine what is reasonable and has discretion to award less than the fee provided in an agreement. In *Rodriquez*, the Sixth Circuit established a rebuttable presumption that an attorney would receive the full 25% contingency fee under contract unless (1) the attorney engaged in improper conduct or was ineffective, or (2) the attorney would enjoy an undeserved windfall due to the client's large back pay award or the attorney's relatively minimal effort.

In the present case, there are no allegations of improper conduct or ineffectiveness of counsel, nor any suggestion that counsel expended only minimal effort. Moreover, in light of counsel's experience in Social Security matters (*see* doc. 19), it does not appear that the requested fee would result in an undeserved windfall.

H.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that the unopposed motion for § 406(b)(1)(A) fees be **GRANTED**, and that plaintiff's counsel be **AWARDED** his requested \$5,459.46 in fees.

Date: 6/10/08

Timothy S. Black
Timothy S. Black

United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

MARCIA GRIMES, : Case No. 1:05-cv-790

Plaintiff, : Dlott, J.

Black, M.J.

vs. :

....

COMMISSIONER OF : SOCIAL SECURITY. :

:

Defendant.

NOTICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within **TEN** (10) **DAYS** after being served with this Report and Recommendations. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(e), this period is automatically extended to **THIRTEEN** (13) **DAYS** (excluding intervening Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) when this Report is being served by mail and may be extended further by the Court on timely motion for an extension. Such objections shall specify the portions of the Report objected to and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. A party may respond to another party's objections within **TEN** (10) **DAYS** after being served with a copy thereof. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F. 2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).